

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/533,580	KIMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vera Katz	1784	

All Participants:

 (1) Vera Katz.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: Special New

 (3) Jeffrey Bousquet, r.n. 57,771.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 December 2010
Time: 10:00 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102/103

Claims discussed:

1-2, 13, 24, 27-28, and 43

Prior art documents discussed:

Toki and Lortz
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jennifer C McNeil/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1784
 /Vera Katz/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1784

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed newly amended claims 1-2, 13 24, 27-28 and 43 now incorporating a negative limitation "no other water is added to a dispersoid". This limitation does not have a required basis in the original disclosure for negative limitation and would be rejected under U.S.C.112, first paragraph (new matter); see MPEP 2173.5(i). To place the application in condition for allowance it was proposed by the Examiner to delete this negative limitation. It was also proposed to cancel the withdrawn claims related to a metal oxide film. It can be done by the Examiner's Amendment. Applicant representative will contact the Applicant to authorize the proposed amendment.